

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt Smridhi Bedi, D/o Sh Surinder Pal Singh,
287, Gali No-7-C, New Jawahar Nagar,
Batala Road, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Macload Road, Rani Ka Bagh,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Macload Road, Rani Ka Bagh,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2669 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.S.K.Sharma, advocate for the Appellant
Sh.Kulwant Singh, ASI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 24.05.2021 has sought information under Life & Liberty regarding copy of complaints filed against the appellant and her family members by her husband or any other persons on the basis of which the ASI asked and threatened her to come to police station on 28.05.2021 (20) any other complaint received from her-in-laws till disposal of this application (3) copy of register in which it entered and diary number (4) status report (5) action taken report (6) day-to-day progress report (7) any documents, evidence adduced, produced by the complainant (8) statement recorded if any including affidavit (9) allowing inspection of record-Rojnamcha/Register maintained by the police station (10) Video recording made by two office concerns at the time of visit of counsel to police station alongwith other persons (11) to have inspection of record and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DCP Rani ka Bagh, Amritsar. The appellant was provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.05.2021 under Life & Liberty which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard on 05.07.2021 under Life & Liberty through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that the copy of complaint regarding point-1 has been provided to the appellant. The respondent further informed that the enquiry has been completed and complaint stands filed.

The counsel representing the appellant claimed that the respondent has provided only copy of one complaint and no further information has been provided.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to provide point-wise information as available on the record to the appellant and appear before the Commission on 06.07.2021 at 11.00 AM.

Hearing dated 06.07.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The counsel representing the appellant informed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied with the same.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 06.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Buta Singh, S/o Sh. Karam Singh,
VPO Talwani Mallian, Tehsil Dharamkot,
Distt Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM, Dharamkot,
Distt Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Dharamkot,
Distt Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3234 2020

PRESENT: None for the appellant
Sh.Sukhdeep Singh, clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.09.2018 has sought information on 10 points regarding copies of documents relating to the election of Zila Parishad Zone Kokari Kalan-4 of Booth No.176 to 179 of village Talwandi Mallian – a copy of marked electoral rolls, copy of signed counterfoils – a copy of cassette of ideograph – name & designation of polling staff – name & designation of counting the staff – the name of the micro observer – security staff – number of vehicles used and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SDM Dharamkot. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of PIO dated 30.10.2018 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.11.2028 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard on 31.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The appellant informed that information on points 4,5, 8 & 9 has been received by him but the PIO has not provided the information on points 1,2 ,3 6 & 7.

The respondent present pleaded that the record relating to points no.1 & 2 is available with the Treasury Office; regarding point-3, no video/CD is available in the record; regarding point-6, no observer was appointed; and regarding point-7, no security staff was appointed.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to procure the information regarding points 1 & 2 from the Treasury office by adopting the due procedure and provide it to the appellant.

Regarding point-3, 6 & 7, the PIO was directed to give a detailed pointwise reply and send it to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

Hearing dated 06.07.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The respondent present pleaded that the information regarding points 3,6 & 7 has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 05.07.2021 and a copy of the same sent to the Commission through email. The respondent further informed that since the information regarding points 1 & 2 is voluminous (as per record collected from the Treasury office) and approximately 2400 pages, the appellant has been asked to deposit the requisite fee of Rs.4800/- (@ Rs.2/-per page) to collect the information.

The appellant is absent.

I am in agreement with the PIO regarding the fees since the voluminous nature of the information came to the fore only after it was retrieved from the treasury. The information was not in easy access and due procedure had to be followed to retrieve it for the applicant, which was duly done after the orders of the commission.

The appellant is absent, nor has communicated any discrepancies or objection to the fee raised by the respondent.

Given the above, information regarding points 3, 6 & 7 stands provided and the regarding information for points 1 and 2 the appellant can deposit the requisite fee and obtain it .

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:06.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Devinder Kumar,
1076, 1st Floor, sector-15-B,
Chandigarh.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsil,
Baghapurana, Distt. Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Baghapurana,
Distt Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3254 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Rajinder Singh, Sr. Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 19.07.2020 has sought information regarding the rule under which the Tehsildar registered the sale of transfer deed vide vasika No.4066 dt 23.02.2012 in favour of Sandeep Kumar – the rule under which entry for the sale of transfer deed in mutation register is done relating to specific numbers on 24.02.2012 in of favour Sandeep Kumar and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Tehsildar Baghapura. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 24.08.2020 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 31.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Moga. As per the respondent, the information had been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 24.08.2020.

The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption.

The Commission also received a letter from the PIO on 26.03.2021 stating that the information was supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 24.08.2020, to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.09.2020 which disposed of the appeal with the reply to the appellant that since the information that has been sought by the appellant is in question form, it cannot be provided.

The Commission, however, made it clear that the information, if it exists, even though sought in question form, it be provided. The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide complete information to the appellant as per the RTI application under the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 06.07.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. As per the respondent, all information as per the RTI application has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 24.02.2021.

The appellant is absent on the second time nor has communicated any discrepancies.

Since there is no communication from the appellant, I am of the view that the RTI has been sufficiently replied to.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:06.07.2021

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. George Subh, S/o Sh. Rustam Masih,
R/o Begowal, Ward No-12,
Tehsil Bholath, Distt Kapurthala.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Senior Medical Officer,
P.H.C, Jandiala, Distt Jalandhar.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 858 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.George Subh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 23.03.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of the SC certificate of Samual Masih or his service book as per the seniority list dated 30.06.2016 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Sr Medical Officer, PHC Jandiala, Distt. Jalandhar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 13.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 28.04.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. The respondent present pleaded that since the information is 3rd party information, it cannot be provided.

The PIO is absent. Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay in attending to the RTI application. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit.**

Hearing dated 06.07.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. The respondent is absent nor has filed a reply to the show-cause notice.

The appellant is present and informed that he has received the complete information and does not want to pursue his case further and that his complaint case be closed.

Since the information stands provided and the appellant does not want to pursue the case further, the show-cause is dropped and the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner